Mot brought

## Motion Waiving Potential Conflict of D2 TDC Nominee Anna Palermo

I move, pursuant to § 112.313 (12), Fla. Stat., to waive subsections (3) and (7) of § 112.313, Fla. Stat., insofar as they might otherwise preclude District 2 TDC appointee Anna Palermo from serving on the TDC and, to the greatest extent permissible, any subcommittee thereof. This motion contemplates, pursuant to statute, Ms. Palermo timely disclosing, upon becoming aware, that a particular vote would inure to her special private gain or loss.

It also contemplates that, while Ms. Palermo will be free to participate in discussions of such votes following her timely disclosure, she will abstain from voting in any such matter and follow the provisions of section § 112.3143 (3) a and (4).

Ms. Palermo has extensive subject matter expertise in marketing, hence the reason I selected her for inclusion onto the TDC as well as the marketing subcommittee. It is also likely the reason TDC Chairman Tim Deratany appointed her to chair the marketing subcommittee. Ms. Palermo has been and remains an employee of Spectrum Reach (previously Brighthouse, previously Time Warner, etc.) for approximately 30 years. She has a preexisting business relationship with the Tourism Office in that she has been involved, for approximately 15 years, in handling the Tourism Office's account, with Spectrum. Upon information and belief, Ms. Palermo is paid on a commission basis. I have been additionally informed that her commission, on this account, has not exceeded \$5,000 during either of the preceding two years and it is not expected to exceed \$5,000 this year.

It would be wholly inappropriate for Ms. Palermo to vote on any matter which would inure to her special private gain or loss. However, it would be similarly inappropriate to punish the TDC and, by extension, Brevard County by depriving the TDC of Ms. Palermo's subject matter expertise given that she will not be voting on matters involving her employer.

The Tourist Development Tax statute requires representation, on the council, by individuals involved in various tourism related industries. Due to that requirement, conflicts are likely to occur in a county of this size. To avoid similar concerns arising, in the future, included with the instant motion, I am additionally moving that the County Attorney and County Manager be directed to implement any necessary procedural change(s) with respect to the appointment process such that appointees list potential business conflicts prior to appointment (e.g., upon nomination) so that conflicts may be more timely addressed and waived concurrent with appointments being made.

Though this is not a part of this motion, should this motion fail, I'd ask that TDC Chairman Tim Deratany appoint me to the Marketing Subcommittee and permit me to serve as Chair for the remainder of the year.

711

**Sewer Rate Comparison** 

			~ AA	
201		Increase from last year		
Barefoot Bay	67.80			
Titusville	53.57	*3.00%	*proposed	
Cocoa	53.56	5.00%		
Palm Bay	49.13	1.76%		
Melbourne	48.58	5.50%		
Brevard	44.58	2.20%		
201	8 Sewer per 5000	Increase from last year		
Barefoot Bay	66.34	2.20%		
Titusville	52.01	3.00%		
Cocoa	51.01	4.00%		
Palm Bay	48.28	2.83%		
Melbourne	46.08	0.00%		
Brevard	43.62	5.00%		
		3.3070		
2017	Sewer per 5000	Increase from last year		
Barefoot Bay	64.92	1.70%		
Titusville .	50.49	2.00%		
Сосоа	49.05	5.00%		
Palm Bay	46.95	2.78%		
Melbourne	46.08	0.00%		
Brevard	41.56	5.00%		
	12.50	3.00%		
2016	Sewer per 5000	Increase from last year		
Barefoot Bay	63.83	6.00%		
itusville	49.52	3.00%		
Cocoa	46.71	3.00%		
/lelbourne	46.08	0.00%		
alm Bay	45.68	2.70%		
revard	39.60	5.00%		
2015	33.00	3.00%		
	Sewer per 5000	Increase from last year		
arefoot Bay	60.20	7.00%		
itusville	48.07	3.60%		
1elbourne	46.08			
ocoa	45.38	5.50%		
alm Bay		0.00%		
revard	44.48	5.43%		
evalu	37.73	6.00%		
2014	C			
2014	Sewer per 5000	Increase from last year		
arefoot Bay	56.27	7.00%		
tusville	46.42	1.00%		
ocoa	45.38	5.00%		
elbourne	43.66	no previous year data		
ılm Bay	42.19	no previous year data		
evard	35.59	9.00%		

**Stormwater Rate Comparison** 

	9 Stormwater	Increase from last year	N I		
Palm Bay	153.00	0.009	6		
Titusville	89.89	2.50%	6		
Cocoa	87.00	3.57%	6		
Brevard	64.00	0.00%	6		
Barefoot Bay	64.00	0.00%	6		
Melbourne	44.00				
201	8 Stormwater	Increase from last year			
Palm Bay	153.00		,		_
Titusville	87.70				-
Cocoa					
Brevard	84.00				
	64.00				
Barefoot Bay Melbourne	64.00				
Melbourne	44.00	0.00%	5		
2017	Stormwater	Increase from last year			
Palm Bay	177.00	69.70%			
Titusville	85.56	2.50%			
Cocoa	81.00	3.57%			
Brevard	64.00	0.00%			
Barefoot Bay	64.00	23.00%			
Melbourne	44.00	0.00%			<u> </u>
	Stormwater	Increase from last year			
Titusville	83.47	2.50%			
Cocoa	78.00	3.57%			
Brevard	64.00	23.00%			
Palm Bay	53.64	0.00%			
Barefoot Bay	52.00	0.00%			
Melbourne	44.00	0.00%			
2015	Stormwater	Increase from last year			
itusville	81.43	2.50%			<u> </u>
ocoa	75.00	3.57%			
alm Bay	53.64				
revard		0.00%		14	
arefoot Bay	52.00	0.00%			-
1elbourne	52.00	44.44%			
reibourne	44.00	22.22%			
	Stormwater	ncrease from last year			
tusville	79.44				
осоа	72.00				
alm Bay	53.64				
revard	52.00			).	
elbourne	36.00				
erefoot Bay	36.00				

**Water Rate Comparison** 

		rate compan	3011	
	19 Water per 5000	Increase from last year	14	
Cocoa	33.5	4 5.00%		
Palm Bay	33.1	1.85%		
Barefoot Bay	32.6	1 2.20%		
Melbourne	32.5	4 5.50%		
Titusville	28.8	7 *3.00%	*proposed	
Brevard	27.39			
		3.20%		
201	L8 Water per 5000	Increase from last year		_
Palm Bay	32.5			
Cocoa	31.94			
Barefoot Bay	31.93			
Melbourne	30.85			4
Fitusville	28.20	5,0070		
Brevard	26.80			
Jievaiu	20.80	5.00%		
204	7 Weter nov 5000			
	7 Water per 5000	Increase from last year		
Palm Bay	32.00			
Barefoot Bay	31.22	21, 5,0		
Melbourne	30.85	5.0070		
Cocoa	30.71			
itusville	27.39			
revard	25.52	5.00%		
	6 Water per 5000	Increase from last year		
alm Bay	31.57	1.45%		
1elbourne	30.85	0.00%		
arefoot Bay	30.70	6.00%		
ocoa	29.24	3.00%		
itusville	26.85			
revard	24.31	5.00%		-
		313370		
2015	Water per 5000	Increase from last year		
alm Bay	31.06	1.44%	<del></del>	
elbourne	30.85	5.50%		1
arefoot Bay	28.97	7.00%		-
соа	28.41	0.00%		
tusville	26.06			
evard	23.16	3.60%		-
Craiu	23.16	6.00%		
2014	Mater non 5000			
		Increase from last year		
lm Bay	30.62			
elbourne	29.23			
		F 0004	last rate increase 2008*	
coa	28.41	5.00% /	ast rate increase 2008*	
refoot Bay	27.07		ast rate increase 2008* ast rate increase 2008*	
		7.00% /		